11th December 1952]

(*b*) if so, how much it cost the Government; and

(*c*) whether the Government propose to subsidize foodgrains in the famine-affected districts of Rayalaseema?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" (*a*) Yes. The Government ordered on 28th July 1952 that all milo stocks in the State (whether of American or other origin) should be sold at subsidized rates.

" (*b*) The cost to Government is about rupees one crore.

" (*c*) Milo and overseas rice issued through fair price shops are sold at subsidized rates at present."

SRI D. SEETHARAMIAH :—" అధ్యక్షా, ఇదివరలో అమెరికా మైలోకు subsidy ఇచ్చారు. అది అమెరికా మైలో అయిన కారణంచేత subsidy ఇచ్చారా లేక కరువు కాటకాలలో అలమటిస్తున్న రాయలసీమ ప్రజలను కనికరించి ఇచ్చారా; కరువు ప్రాంతంలోని ప్రజలకు సౌకర్యం కలుగచేయుటే ప్రభుత్వం వారి ఉద్దేశమైనట్లయితే ఇప్పుడు రాయలసీమ విక్రయిస్తున్న ఇతర ఆహార ధాన్యాలకుకూడా subsidy ఇస్తారా!"

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" We are having a food debate very soon. All these points may be raised then."

MR. SPEAKER :—" The hon. Member Sri Sankarayya may now raise the point or order."

SRI B. SANKARAYYA :—" అధ్యక్షా, on a point of order, స్టారు ప్రశ్నలు సభ్యులు పంపించుటలో ఉద్దేశ్యం వాటిపైన ఉప ప్రశ్నలు వేయవలెననే అభిప్రాయంతోనే అనే విషయం Speaker గారికి తెలుసును. అయినను ఈ నాడు అట్టి అవకాశం ప్రశ్నలు వేసిన సభ్యులకు కలుగజేయలేదు. కాబట్టి అట్టి అవకాశం ఈయవలసినదని Speaker గారిని కోరుతున్నాను."

MR. SPEAKER :—" I think the hon. Member is complaining that I have not allowed supplementary questions. The Speaker has got discretion to allow a supplementary question or not. The hon. Member may resume his seat."

[*Note.*—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

II.—ADJOURNMENT MOTION *RE* ENTRY INTO MADRAS STATE TERRITORY AND ILL TREATMENT OF CERTAIN PEOPLE BY THE HYDERABAD POLICE.

MR. SPEAKER :—" The hon. Member Sri K. Subba Reddi has given notice of an adjournment motion which reads as follows :—

> **'This House stands adjourned to discuss an urgent matter of definite public importance of recent occurence, viz., that on the night at 11 o'clock of 3rd December 1952, the Police of Nizam State came to the village Ramapuram, Palnad taluk, Guntur district, in our State, entered the house of Sri Uppu Sydareddi, beat him severely, ill-treated the females in the house, created terror in the village, took Sydareddi to a place outside the village, tortured him and left him there and went away.'**

[Mr. Speaker] [11th December 1952

" This is in the nature of an inter-State question. Apart from that, our Government have no responsibility in the matter, as is apparent from the motion itself. I do not know under what rule he considers it an adjournment motion."

SRI K. SUBBA REDDI :—" అధ్యక్షా, ఇది చాలా ముఖ్యమైనటువంటి విషయము. ఈ సంఘటన 1948, 1949 వ సంవత్సరాలలో ప్రభుత్వం జరిపినటువంటి చర్యలను జ్ఞాపకము చేస్తున్నాయి. ఈ రామాపురం అనే గ్రామం గుంటూరు జిల్లా, పల్నాడు తాలూకాలో ఉన్నది. (నైజాముస్టేటుకు మన రాష్ట్రానికి సరిహద్దులో ఉన్న గ్రామాలలో అది ఒకటి. ఈ నెల మూడవ తేదినాటి రెండు గంటలవేళ నైజాము పోలీసులు ఈ రామాపురం గ్రామంలో ప్రవేశించి ఉప్పు సైదారెడ్డి అనే వ్యక్తిని ఊరిబయట కుబలవంతంగా తీసుకొని వెళ్ళి, కొట్టి నానా హింసలు చేశారు. అంతే కాకుండా స్త్రీలను కూడా ఎన్నో విధాల అవమాన పరచారు. ఈ రాష్ట్రంలో ఉన్నటువంటి ప్రజలు యొక్క శాంతి భద్రతలను కాపాడుటకు ఈ ప్రభుత్వం బాధ్యత వహించవలసి యున్నది. నైజాం పోలీసులు రాత్రి వేళ ఈ గ్రామములలో ప్రవేశించి గ్రామములలోని కొంతమంది పెద్దల ఇండ్లను చుట్టుముట్టి స్త్రీలను అవమానపరచారు. ఈ విధమైనటు అక్రమ చర్యలను సరిహద్దు ప్రాంతంలో ఉన్న పర రాష్ట్ర రక్షక భటులు చేస్తూవుంటె ఈ ప్రభుత్వం చూస్తూ ఉండడం సబబుకాదని తెలియజేస్తున్నాను. ఈ రాష్ట్రంలో ఉన్న ప్రజలను కాపాడడం ప్రభుత్వంవారి విద్యుక్త ధర్మమని వారు గుర్తించవలసియున్నది. అందులో ముఖ్యంగా స్త్రీలను అవమాన పరచడం ఈ రాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వం ఎంతమాత్రం సహించరాని విషయమని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను. ప్రజల ఆస్తి, ప్రాణాలను రక్షించవలసిన భాద్యత ప్రభుత్వానికి కాదా అని అడుగుతున్నాను. ఈ రాష్ట్ర పౌరులను, ఇతర రాష్ట్ర పోలీసులు హింసల పాలుజేస్తూఉంటే కళ్లు అప్పగించి చూడడమేనా మన ప్రభుత్వోద్యోగులు, రక్షక భటులు చేయవలసినపని. ఈ రాష్ట్ర ప్రజను పరాయి వారి బారినుండి కాపాడే కర్తవ్యం వారికి లేదా అని అడుగుతున్నాను. ఈ విషయమై వెంటనే ప్రభుత్వం తగిన చర్య తీసుకొంటుందా అని అడుగుతున్నాను."

* SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—" Sir, you have said that this is an inter-State affair and have asked how the State Government are responsible for this matter. This question has got to be determined before allowing the adjournment motion. I submit it is not an inter-State affair. I do not at all believe that it can be put forward as an excuse that it was the Government of the Hyderabad State that were responsible for this. If that is the position taken, then it is entirely an inter-State question. But the fact is this. Some policemen belonging to the Hyderabad State entered a place within the territorial jurisdiction of this State."

MR. SPEAKER :—" The Hon. the Leader of the House says it was without the knowledge of the officials of this State."

9-50 a.m. * SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—" The question whether it was with their knowledge or without their knowledge does not arise. Some policemen in the service of the Hyderabad State entered a place within the territorial jurisdiction of this State, molested peaceful people, ill-treated them and tortured them. It is the

primary responsibility of the Government of this State to see to it that peaceful citizens go about their avocations and that they are not molested by other people. Particularly when policemen belonging to an adjoining State take the law into their own hands, come into our State, begin to ill-treat our people, torture them and even ill-treat women, certainly our Government have got a specific responsibility. It is a matter which the House is very much concerned with and which it has got to discuss. Therefore. I submit that it is in order."

* SRI T. VISWANATHAM :—" Sir, this seems to be more important than I at first thought it to be. It is true that the adjournment motion says that it is the Nizam's Police that tortured our people. But, at the same time, it is clearly stated that the torture took place in our territory.

" It is not by private persons belonging to the Nizam's Government. But it is by the officials of the Nizam's Government. Therefore, I thought that on the face of it you were pleased to think that this was an inter-State affair. But the real fact is that our people in our territory have been tortured by the Nizam's police. It is true that the Government have got a duty to take up the matter with the Nizam's Government. Why should this Government have the duty of taking up this matter with the Nizam's Government? It is because they have the responsibility of protecting the life and property of the citizens of this State. There is this responsibility cast upon them. It is to fix that responsibility on them and to discuss the failure of the Government in the matter or to draw their attention to this incident and impress on them that they should take steps immediately to enquire into the matter, this motion is brought forward. I submit that I believe this motion to be perfectly in order."

* THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" Sir, I am not in possession of the facts referred to in the adjournment motion. Whether, as a matter of fact, there was any infiltration by the Hyderabad police into our territory and they committed atrocities, we cannot say now. We are now concerned with the question whether the matter is one fit for the adjournment of the business of this House. I respectfully submit that it is not. It is not as if somebody unconnected with the Government of Hyderabad has infiltrated into our territory and committed atrocities. The specific allegation is that police officials belonging to the Hyderabad Government entered our territory and committed atrocities. The primary responsibility for taking action against the police officers is on the Hyderabad Government. We may have to bring it to the notice of the Government of Hyderabad in the ordinary course of administration. Certainly we cannot exercise our jurisdiction on the officials of the Hyderabad Government."

* SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—" Sir, it is an amazing statement that I hear from the Hon. Minister. Merely because the men happen to belong to the Hyderabad State, even if they

commit murder or molest our women in our State, is it not possible for our Government to prosecute them? It is the responsibility of this Government to prosecute them. To say that it is the Hyderabad Government that has got to take action against there men and not our Government, is wrong.''

Mr. SPEAKER :—'' The Hon. Minister says that he will address the Hyderabad Government.''

* Sri P. RAMAMURTHI :—'' Sir, the men have transgressed the law of our State and have committed crimes. The fact that they happen to be Government officials of the Hyderabad State cannot protect them. This Government have got a responsibility in the matter.''

* The Hon. Sri C. SUBRAMANIAM :—'' It will be done in the usual course of administration. If any crime has been committed in our territory by persons in the jurisdiction of the Hyderabad Government, and if they are Government officials, sanction of that Government will have to be taken. In these circumstances, I do not see how we can take notice of this incident in an adjournment motion and discuss it effectively. If the Government of Hyderabad do not agree, we will have to represent to the Government of India, and they will have to take action.''

Mr. SPEAKER :—'' The adjournment motion reads that on the night of 3rd December 1952 at 11 o'clock the Nizam's police entered Ramapuram village of Guntur district. How are our Government responsible for it? On the very face of it, the responsibility for it is on the Nizam's police and not on our police. Apart from this, as I have already stated, this is an inter-State question. On these two grounds, I rule the motion out of order.''

* The Hon. Sri C. SUBRAMANIAM :—'' Anyhow, I may assure hon. Members, now that this has been brought to our notice, that we will look into the matter. Whatever action is necessary, we will certainly take.''

Mr. SPEAKER :—'' To-morrow the House will sit from 10 a.m. to 1 o'clock. The Hon. Minister will reply to the debate before 1 o'clock. To-morrow evening the House will sit from 3 to 6. There will be two debates, one on the Krishna Anicut Enquiry Committee Report and the other on the cyclone havoc in Tanjore.''

III.—GOVERNMENT MOTION *RE* FOOD SITUATION IN THE STATE.

* The Hon. Sri C. SUBRAMANIAM :—'' Sir, I beg to move—

'*That the food situation in the State be taken into consideration.*'